



## **HERITAGE HALTON HILLS COMMITTEE**

### **MINUTES**

**WEDNESDAY, JULY 8, 2020**

**Minutes of the Heritage Halton Hills Committee meeting held on  
Wednesday July 8, 2020 VIA Zoom.**

**Members Present:** Councillor T. Brown, Chair, D. Brock, B. Cosper, R. Denny,  
C. Donaldson, A. Douglas, S. Frick, G. Miller, L. Quinlan,  
A. Walker

**Regrets:** M. Rowe

**Staff Present:** L. Loney, Senior Heritage Planner,  
B. Parker, Director of Planning Policy,  
J. Markowiak, Director of Planning and Development,  
R. Brown, Committee Clerk

---

#### **1. CALL TO ORDER**

Councillor T. Brown, Chair called the meeting to order at 4:00 p.m.

#### **2. DISCLOSURE OF PECUNIARY/CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

There were no disclosures of pecuniary/conflict of interest.

#### **3. RECEIPT OF PREVIOUS MINUTES**

Recommendation No. HH-2020-0009

THAT the minutes of the Heritage Halton Hills Committee meeting held on  
Wednesday February 19, 2020 be received.

**CARRIED**

#### **4. SCHEDULED ITEMS FOR DISCUSSION**

##### **4.a 59 King Street - Heritage Impact Assessment**

L. Loney provided the Committee with an overview of the development proposal for 59, 61 and 63 King Street. Only 59 King Street is listed on the Heritage Register.

L. Loney reviewed the Heritage Impact Assessment for 59 King Street which was added to the Heritage Register in Phase 3. According to the assessment the property it has some historic or associative value but limited physical and design and little contextual value. Therefore this property is not a strong candidate for designation. The Heritage Impact Assessment finding is that commemoration and interpretation following the removal of the buildings on the property is recommended.

L. Loney then reviewed the Heritage Impact Assessment's findings for both 61 and 63 King Street. 61 King Street is not on the Heritage Registry and has limited physical and/or design value, has no historic and/or associative value and has no contextual value. 63 King Street is not on the Heritage Register and has no physical and/or design value, has no historic and/or associative value and has no contextual value. Therefore neither building is candidate for listing or designation.

L. Loney noted that the HIA finds that the removal of all three buildings has no negative historical impact.

The Committee agreed with the Heritage Impact Assessment for 59 King Street and supports its removal from the Town of Halton Hills' Heritage Register

##### Recommendation No. HH-2020-0010

THAT the Heritage Halton Hills Committee supports the removal of 59 King Street from the Town of Halton Hills Heritage Registry.

**CARRIED**

##### **4.b 108 Charles Street - Request for Removal from the Heritage Register**

L. Loney provided background on 108 Charles Street noting that the house was built in 1949 along with similar homes in the Churchill Crescent area. The owners of the house have put the property up for sale and are requesting removal of the house from the Heritage Register as they anticipate that any potential buyers will likely be looking to demolish the house. The house has been modified from the original architecture and both the exterior and interior of the house have issues as the property has not been kept up.

L. Loney noted that seven (7) properties from the area that were of the same timeframe and architecture have been removed from the Heritage Register.

Based on the condition of the property and the fact that other similar properties in the area have been removed from the Heritage Register, commemoration and interpretation following the removal of the building on the property is appropriate.

L. Loney stated that with respect to the remaining houses/properties in the area of this type that are listed, there is a larger issue with respect to the Heritage Register which may call for a more proactive approach.

Recommendation No. HH-2020-0011

THAT the Heritage Halton Hills Committee supports the removal of 108 Charles Street from the Town of Halton Hills Heritage Registry.

**CARRIED**

**5. SUB-COMMITTEES AND WORKING GROUPS**

NIL

**6. CLOSED SESSION**

NIL

**7. ITEMS TO BE SCHEDULED FOR NEXT MEETING**

L. Loney noted that there has been a request to have a property on Ninth Line removed from the Heritage Register. In order to address this request there may be a need for the Committee to meet in August.

**8. ADJOURNMENT**

The meeting adjourned at 4:31 p.m.